

ASPECTS OF THE CALCULATION OF CORRELATIONS ON THE BUCHAREST STOCK EXCHANGE

Teodor Hada¹
Halga (Corpodean) Dana²

ABSTRACT: In the present study we aimed to determine correlations between the profit of the firms and the value of their shares for 2010, and between the Down Jones index and the share prices on the stock market. The study is structured in two parts: in the first part, we establish correlations between the share price and profit, and between Down Jones indicator, and the course of the share prices based on econometric models. In the second part of the present study, we used the SPSS program, and we calculate the same correlations with this program.

We made use of the following types of research: descriptive research, explanatory research, and applied research.

The novelty of the study is determined by the fact that we employed econometric methods and the SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) program to establish correlations between different variables.

Key words: correlations, stock market, statistical observation

JEL codes: G24

Introduction

By the financial investments, the investors on the capital market expect a yield which is the average yield plus a risk premium for the investment in this field. The yield depends on the dividends expected by the investors and on the differences of the exchange rates, by the formula:

$$\eta_1 = \frac{D_1}{C_0} + \frac{C_1 - C_0}{C_0} \quad (1)$$

where C_0 - price of acquisition

C_1 = price of resale

D_1 = expected dividend

For obtaining dividends the investors follow two indicators, namely:

- the rate of distributing dividends (d), which shows how much of the net benefit is distributed for paying dividends, and is calculated by using the following formula:

$$d = \text{Net dividends/Net benefit} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

- per share benefit, which shows how much current benefit returns on a share for the investor, and is calculated by using the following formula:

$$\text{Per share benefit} = \text{Current benefit/Number of shares} \quad (3)$$

Correlations between the profit index and the value of shares on BSE in 2010

In this study, we performed a statistical observation of the 25 companies that have shares listed on Bucharest Stock exchange, and are part of the first category, taking into account the value

¹ "1 Decembrie 1918" University, Alba Iulia, Romania, e-mail: teohada@yahoo.com

² "Dionisie Pop Martian" Economic College, Romania, Alba Iulia, e-mail: danahalga@yahoo.com

of the action and profit in the end of 2010. The source of these statistical data is the site of BSE: www.bvb.ro.

Statistical observations was made in relation to the share price transactions (X) and profit (Y). The statistical observation resulted in the following table (<http://www.bvb.ro/Companies/qshares.aspx?m=bse&t=0>):

Table no. 1.

The value of shares and the profit at the end of 2010 of the 25 companies listed on Bucharest Stock Exchange

No.	X-value	Y-profit
1	2.99	159,781,849.00
2	0.44	12,539,100.00
3	0.48	153,958,847.00
4	0.21	14,414,793.00
5	12.35	500,589,835.00
6	0.29	6,651,811.00
7	0.14	3,527,197.00
8	0.47	2,008,441.00
9	0.20	3,362,632.00
10	1.90	10,881,737.00
11	1.10	9,557,424.00
12	19.35	1,799,154,602.00
13	0.34	376,352,986.00
14	28.00	62,996,316.00
15	1.01	96,293,949.00
16	1.16	71,419,776.00
17	0.64	80,994,938.00
18	1.26	66,919,410.00
19	0.54	4,462,356.00
20	0.37	97,493,089.00
21	0.09	11,276,413.00
22	1.01	2,948,021.00
23	0.04	2,173,848.00
24	1.45	435,115.00
25	0.79	1,944,272.00

In order to verify the correlation between the two variables, we used the econometric model that functions on the basis of the following formula:

$$r = \frac{N * \sum X * Y - \sum X * \sum Y}{\sqrt{N * \sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2} * \sqrt{N * \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2}} \quad (4)$$

where r- correlation report
N- number of subjects

Table no. 2.

The calculation of the correlation indicators

No.	X-value	Y-profit	X ²	Y ²	X*Y
1	2.99	159,781,849.00	8.9401	255.30	47.77
2	0.44	12,539,100.00	0.19018321	1.57	0.55

3	0.48	153,958,847.00	0.2304	237.03	7.39
4	0.21	14,414,793.00	0.042025	2.08	0.30
5	12.35	500,589,835.00	152.5225	2505.90	618.23
6	0.29	6,651,811.00	0.0841	0.44	0.19
7	0.14	3,527,197.00	0.0196	0.12	0.05
8	0.47	2,008,441.00	0.22099401	0.04	0.09
9	0.20	3,362,632.00	0.041209	0.11	0.07
10	1.90	10,881,737.00	3.61	1.18	2.07
11	1.10	9,557,424.00	1.21	0.91	1.05
12	19.35	1,799,154,602.00	374.4225	32369.57	3481.36
13	0.34	376,352,986.00	0.112225	1416.42	12.61
14	28.00	62,996,316.00	784	39.69	176.39
15	1.01	96,293,949.00	1.028196	92.73	9.76
16	1.16	71,419,776.00	1.350244	51.01	8.30
17	0.64	80,994,938.00	0.414736	65.60	5.22
18	1.26	66,919,410.00	1.5876	44.78	8.43
19	0.54	4,462,356.00	0.29539225	0.20	0.24
20	0.37	97,493,089.00	0.1369	95.05	3.61
21	0.09	11,276,413.00	0.00893025	1.27	0.11
22	1.01	2,948,021.00	1.0201	0.09	0.30
23	0.04	2,173,848.00	0.00164025	0.05	0.01
24	1.45	435,115.00	2.1025	0.00	0.06
25	0.79	1,944,272.00	0.616225	0.04	0.15
Total	76.6227	3552138757	1334.2083	37181.19	4384.311

As result of data analysis from above, we obtained the correlation between the two variables, i.e. value $r = 0.729493$; good correlation, $r \in [-1;1]$.

Bond Intensity Analysis Using Fisher’s Test

On the basis of the observation table, we systematized the observation data seen in the following correlation table:

Table no. 3.

The frequency of companies based on the value stocks and profit

y / x	[0-10)	[10-20)	[20-30)	TOTAL
[0-50]	22		1	23
[50-100)		1		1
[100-150)				0
[150-200)		1		1
TOTAL	22	2	1	25

In the table above, we emphasized the correlation between variable X -value stocks in 2010, and variable y 2010 -profit for 2010 (expressed in the correlation table in thousands lei)

1. We formulate the null hypothesis together with the alternative hypothesis:

$H_0 : r_{01} = 0$ (the X factor is not a significant influence factor for Y, there is no correlation, it is low intensity)

$H_1 : r_{01} \neq 0$ (the X factor is not a significant influence factor for Y, there is correlation, high intensity).

2. On the basis of the collected data, we calculate the correlation report based on the total variance and the explained variance.

The correlation report is calculated using the formula:

$$\hat{r}_{01} = \sqrt{\frac{V_{\text{exp}}}{V_{\text{tot}}}} = 0.877523026 \quad (5)$$

The total variance is provided by the formula:

$$V_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_Y^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I (y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \cdot n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^I n_i} = 1632.96 \quad (6)$$

The reckoning of the total variance:

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I y_i \cdot n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^I n_i} \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{Y} = \frac{5 \cdot 22 + 15 \cdot 2 + 25 \cdot 1}{25} = 33 \quad (8)$$

$$V_{\text{tot}} = \frac{(25 - 33)^2 \cdot 23 + (75 - 33)^2 \cdot 1 + (125 - 33)^2 \cdot 0 + (175 - 33)^2 \cdot 1}{25} = 936 \quad (9)$$

$$V_{\text{tot}} = 936$$

The explained variance is given by the formula:

$$V_{\text{exp}} = \sigma_{\bar{Y}/X}^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{\bar{Y}}{X_j} - \bar{Y} \right)^2 \cdot n_j}{\sum_{j=1}^J n_j} \quad (10)$$

The reckoning of $\frac{\bar{Y}}{X_j}$ the explained variance with the X-factor:

$$\frac{\bar{Y}}{X_j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I y_i \cdot n_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^I n_{ij}} = 736 \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\hat{Y}}{X_1} = 25 ; \quad \frac{\hat{Y}}{X_2} = 125 ; \quad \frac{\hat{Y}}{X_3} = 25$$

$$V_{\text{exp}} = 736$$

Reckoning for the correlation report:

$$\hat{r}_{01} = \sqrt{\frac{V_{\text{exp}}}{V_{\text{tot}}}} = \sqrt{\frac{736}{936}} = 0,88675 \in (-1;1) \quad (12)$$

results a relatively high intensity at the sample level.

3. The statistical test F is based on the aleatory variance:

We systematized the observed data on the basis of the observation table, in another observation table:

Table no. 5.

The frequency of the companies based on the value stocks and Down Jones index

y \ x	[0-5)	[5-10)	[10-15)	TOTAL
[0-5)				0
[5-10)	2			2
[10-15)	10			10
TOTAL	12	0	0	12

In the table above, we have shown the correlation between the variable X – value stocks for 2010 and variable Y – the Down Jones index value for 2010 (expressed in the correlation table in thousands of lei). The correlation report is calculated using the following formula:

$$\hat{r}_{01} = \sqrt{\frac{V_{exp}}{V_{tot}}} = 0 \in (-1;1) \Rightarrow \text{the bond is low, at the sample level (15)}$$

The total variance is provided by the formula:

$$V_{tot} = \sigma_Y^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I (y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \cdot n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^I n_i} = 3.472222 \quad (16)$$

$$\hat{Y} = \frac{5 * 22 + 15 * 2 + 25 * 1}{25} = 11.66667 \quad (17)$$

$$V_{exp} = \sigma_{\bar{Y}/X}^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{\bar{Y}}{x_j} - \bar{Y} \right)^2 \cdot n_j}{\sum_{j=1}^J n_j} = 0 \quad (18)$$

$\alpha=0,01$

The table for Fisher Law

$\gamma_1=J-1=3-1=2$

\Rightarrow

$F_t=8,02$

$\gamma_2=n-J=12-3= 9$

The acceptance area of hypothesis will be [0; 8,02].

We calculate a particular value for the variable F on the basis of the data obtained using the sample:

$$F_{calc} = \frac{\hat{r}_{01}^2 / (J - 1)}{(1 - \hat{r}_{01}^2) / (n - J)} = \frac{(0.13484)^2 / 3}{(1 - (0.13484)^2) / 8} = 0 \quad (19)$$

The decision taking process

Since 0 belongs to [0; 7,59], we accept the null hypothesis and reject the alternative; hence, $r_{01}=0$, and X is not a significant influence factor for Y.

b) BRD - GROUPE SOCIETE GENERALE S.A.

The correlation obtained with the above mentioned formula has the following value: $r=0.140637$.

The bond intensity analysis using the Fisher test

We systematized the observed data from the observation table in the following correlation table:

Table no. 6.

The frequency of the companies based on the share price and the value of the Down Jones indicator

y / x	[0-5)	[5-10)	[10-15)	15-20	TOTAL
[0-5]					0
[5-10)			2		2
[10-15)			9	1	10
[15-20)					0
TOTAL	0	0	11	1	12

In the table above, we emphasised the correlation between the variable X – share price in 2010 and variable Y – value of the Down Jones indicator for 2010 (expressed in the correlation table in thousands of lei).

The correlation report is calculated according to the following formula:

$$\hat{r}_{01} = \sqrt{\frac{V_{exp}}{V_{tot}}} = 0.13484 \in (-1;1) \quad (20) \Rightarrow \text{the bond gives a rather low value for intensity, at the}$$

sample level.

The total variance is provided by the formula:

$$V_{tot} = \sigma_Y^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^I (y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \cdot n_i}{\sum_{i=1}^I n_i} = 3.472222 \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{\bar{Y}} = \frac{5 \cdot 22 + 15 \cdot 2 + 25 \cdot 1}{25} = 11.66667 \quad (22)$$

$$V_{exp} = \sigma_{\bar{Y}/X}^2 = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^J \left(\frac{\bar{Y}}{x_j} - \bar{Y} \right)^2 \cdot n_{.j}}{\sum_{j=1}^J n_{.j}} = 0.063131 \quad (23)$$

$\alpha=0,01$

The table of Fisher Law

$\gamma_1=J-1=4-1=3$

$\Rightarrow F_t=7,59$

$\gamma_2=n-J=25-4=21$

The acceptance area for hypothesis will be $[0; 7,59]$

We calculate a particular value of the variable F on the basis of the data obtained using the sample.

$$F_{calc} = \frac{\hat{r}_{01}^2 / (J - 1)}{(1 - \hat{r}_{01}^2) / (n - J)} = \frac{(0.13484)^2 / 3}{(1 - (0.13484)^2) / 8} = 0.049383 \quad (24)$$

Decision taking process

Since 0.049383 belongs to $[0; 7,59]$, we accept the null hypothesis and reject the alternative hypothesis; therefore, $r_{01}=0$; it means that x is not a significant influence factor for y .

c) ALUMIL ROM INDUSTRY S.A.

The correlation obtained with the above mentioned formula has the following value: $r=0.045988$.

The bond intensity analysis using the Fisher test

On the basis of the observation table, we systematized the observed data in the following correlation table, and we realized the fact that the correlative influence and the influence observed in the case of the shares of ALRO S.A have the same value.

Table no. 7.

The frequency of ALRO SA based on the share price and the value of the Down Jones indicator

y x	[0-5)	[5-10)	[10-15)	TOTAL
[0-5]				0
[5-10)	2			2
[10-15)	10			10
TOTAL	12	0	0	12

Correlations on the basis of SPSS program

We used the same series of data with the SPSS program, and we obtained the following results (we give the reckoning, the tables resulted plus the interpretation)

Table no. 8.

Correlations

		X-valoare	Y-profit
X-valoare	Pearson Correlation	1	.554**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.004
	N	25	25
Y-profit	Pearson Correlation	.554**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.004	
	N	25	25

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

There is a direct correlation between the two variables

Table no. 9.

Correlations

		X-BRD	Y-DJ
X-BRD	Pearson Correlation	1	.141
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.663
	N	12	12
Y-DJ	Pearson Correlation	.141	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.663	
	N	12	12

Inexistent correlations

Table no. 10.

Correlations

		X-ALRO	Y-DJ
X-ALRO	Pearson Correlation	1	.323
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.306
	N	12	12
Y-DJ	Pearson Correlation	.323	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.306	
	N	12	12

Inexistent correlation

Table no. 11.

Correlations

		X-ALU	Y-DJ
X-ALU	Pearson Correlation	1	.046
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.887
	N	12	12
Y-DJ	Pearson Correlation	.046	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.887	
	N	12	12

Conclusions

In conclusion, we state that the econometrical models are more elaborate in terms of calculation, while the working and processing with the SPSS program is faster and provides data about the correlations of the variables taken in the study. Also, the coefficient of correlation is a term of the function of trend and an element of determining the total risk of the portfolio

By this study, we can say that the investors are interested in the profitable companies which can remunerate by dividends the capital investments on the financial markets. Thus, the international conjuncture influences such as: sovereign debts, unemployment rate, area army conflicts, agreements with the International Monetary Fund, although influences at a certain moment the prices on the international markets, are not permanent factors for investors on the capital markets.

Regarding the correlation between the variation of the Down Jones indicator and the price of shares in this study, this is weak, although its level is a source of information for the international investors on the Bucharest Stock Exchange, which in the last years decreased more and more.

References

1. Anghelache G., 2009. Piata de capital in context European, Editura Economica, București.
2. Breaz N., 2009. Introducere în econometrie: note de curs si seminar, 1 Decembrie 1918 University Press, Alba Iulia.
3. Chirica L., 2010. Pieti financiare si decontari internationale, Editura Economica, București.
4. Constantinescu M., 2009. Evolutii recente și perspective pe piețele bursiere internaționale, Editura Universitaria, Craiova.
5. Hada T., 2006. Plasamente bursiere în Romania, Editura Risoprint, Cluj-Napoca.
6. Reuters, 2000. Introducere în studiul piețelor de titluri de valoare, Editura Economică, București,
7. SPSS Program (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences)
8. site-ul Bursei de Valori Bucuresti, <http://www.bvb.ro/Companies/qshares.aspx?m=bse&t=0> accessed at 01.09.2011